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Abstract. The microphysical, chemical, optical, and lidar data collected during the Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX) resulted in a self-consistent aerosol formulation for a multiple-scattering Monte Carlo radiation model. The model was used to simulate the direct aerosol radiative forcing, cloud radiative forcing, and heating rates for typical winter monsoon conditions over the tropical Indian Ocean. The focus of the study is to understand how the anthropogenic and natural aerosols partition the incoming solar energy between the ocean mixed layer and the overlying cloudy atmosphere. The observed aerosol single-scattering albedo, \( \omega \), was in the range 0.8–0.9 at 500 nm, mean aerosol visible optical thickness, \( \tau_A \), was in the range 0.1–0.8 at 500 nm, and the low-level clouds had horizontal scales of few kilometers and a cloud fraction of about 25%, typical of low-level clouds in the tropical oceans. The aerosol layer extended well above the low-level clouds in many instances, which has a significant impact on the radiative forcing. Although contributing only about 10% to the aerosol optical thickness, the soot transported from Asia and the Indian subcontinent significantly affects the aerosol direct forcing of the cloudy atmosphere. For monthly mean conditions (\( \tau_A = 0.4, \omega = 0.9 \) and 25% low-cloud fraction), the diurnal mean surface radiative forcing is about -25 W m\(^{-2}\) and the atmospheric forcing ranges from +22 to +25 W m\(^{-2}\). The top-of-the-atmosphere direct aerosol forcing is in the range of zero to -3 W m\(^{-2}\). The aerosol enhances the cloud atmospheric forcing by 0.5 and by 2.5 W m\(^{-2}\) when aerosol is mostly below and above the clouds, respectively. Furthermore, the trade wind boundary layer is subject to a heating of about 1 to 1.5 K/d which might burn off the trade cumulus themselves. Thus the major impact of the predominantly anthropogenic aerosol over the tropical Indian Ocean is a substantial redistribution of the solar energy between the atmosphere and the ocean mixed layer.

1. Introduction

The Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX) was designed to study effects of air pollution on climate processes over the tropical Indian Ocean [Ramanathan et al., 1996, 2001]. The pollutants, mainly in the form of aerosols, are produced over Asia and the Indian subcontinent and then transported to the Indian Ocean during the Northern Hemisphere winter monsoon. The INDOEX consisted of two field campaigns: the First Field Phase (FFP) in February–March 1998 and the Intensive Field Phase (IFP) in February–March 1999. One of the major goals of INDOEX was to document aerosol impact on solar radiation.

Satheesh et al. [1999] used aerosol chemical, microphysical, and optical and radiometric data collected at the island of Kaashidhoo (4.97°N, 73.47°E) during the INDOEX FFP to develop a comprehensive aerosol model for the natural and anthropogenic aerosols over the tropical Indian Ocean. The aerosol model was integrated with a multiple-scattering Monte Carlo radiative transfer model and calibrated at the surface with broadband flux measurements and at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) with the Clouds and Earth Radiant Energy System (CERES) radiation budget measurements. The agreement between calculated and measured short-wave fluxes for both surface and TOA was within a few percent under clear skies [Satheesh et al., 1999; Podgorny et al., 2000]. It was found that the surface fluxes at local noon decreased by as much as 50 to 80 W m\(^{-2}\) and TOA fluxes increased by as much as 15 W m\(^{-2}\) during the INDOEX FFP as a consequence of aerosol pollution mostly of anthropogenic origin. During the INDOEX IFP, the investigators used multiple aircraft, ships, and island stations over the Arabian Sea and the Indian Ocean [Ramanathan et al., 1996, 2001]. One of the most striking observations during INDOEX was a dense brownish pollution haze layer, extending from the ocean surface up to almost 4000 m during the whole 6-week IFP (Plate 1). Low-level clouds were typically embedded in the aerosol layer. A large part of aerosol represented “dark” particles, such as soot, dust, and ash, so aerosol single scattering albedo measured at the ambient relative humidity, \( \omega \), occasionally dropped to values as low as 0.8 at 500 nm. The monthly mean aerosol optical thickness at 500 nm was as high as 0.4 with a daily range from 0.1 to 0.8 during the INDOEX IFP [Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000; Ramanathan et al., 2001].

The area affected by aerosol included most of the northern Indian Ocean, i.e., the Arabian Sea, much of the Bay of Bengal, and the equatorial Indian Ocean to about 5° south of the equator.

Several papers published within the last few years [Jayaraman et al., 1998; Meywerk and Ramanathan, 1999; Podgorny et al., 2000; Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000] have documented...
the nature and magnitude of the direct aerosol radiative forcing in clear skies over the tropical Indian Ocean. Together, these papers reveal a substantial aerosol forcing at the surface and also show that the surface forcing is larger than that at the TOA by more than a factor of 3 under clear skies [Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000]. The primary reason for this is the significant atmospheric absorption by the soot in the haze layer. The INDOEX results support recent observations and deductions from the TARFOX field study over the northern Atlantic Ocean just off the eastern U.S. seaboard [Hegg et al., 1997; Novakov et al., 1997; Russell et al., 1999], and the SCAR–B field studies in Brazil [Kaufman et al., 1998; Reid et al., 1998], where low single-scattering albedos were measured. Ackerman and Toon [1981], Chylek et al. [1984], and Hansen et al. [1997] have also studied the importance of absorbing aerosols to climate.

The next important step is to extend the INDOEX studies to cloudy skies, which poses numerous obstacles. The presence of clouds can dramatically enhance the radiative impact of absorbing aerosols, particularly when aerosol is above the clouds [e.g., Haywood and Shine, 1997; Liao and Seinfeld, 1998]. The sign of the forcing may itself change depending on cloud amount, cloud albedo, and cloud spatial structure. The next difficulty is that the forcing magnitude (and possibly the sign) may strongly depend on aerosol vertical distribution. Recent field observations (TARFOX, SCAR–B, and INDOEX) have clearly revealed the hybrid structure of the vertical distribution. It ranges from a profile in which most of the aerosol is confined within the subcloud layer (1000–2000 m above the surface) to one in which the peak aerosol concentration is located at around 3000 m, well above the tops of low clouds. Such elevated aerosol layers have also been found in other tropical regions [e.g., Kaufman et al., 1998]. Another formidable obstacle is the aerosol indirect forcing, in which the cloud properties over the northern Indian Ocean are themselves modified by the presence of aerosols [Ramanathan et al., 2001]. When these issues are considered in conjunction with the uncertainties in radiometric and cloud observations, it is very difficult to quantify the aerosol forcing in cloudy regions directly from observations. What we need is an integrated approach that combines models with observations.

Toward this goal, the study employs a Monte Carlo cloud-aerosol-radiation interaction model. While the input of aerosol, cloud, and atmospheric properties to this model does not replicate any particular observation, it includes the range of values observed in the INDOEX region from surface, aircraft, and satellites. In particular, we consider two distinctive aerosol vertical distributions identified during INDOEX and use low-level clouds as an input to the radiative transfer model. Our objective is to elucidate the sign, magnitude, and vertical structure of the aerosol forcing. The consideration is limited to the aerosol effect on solar fluxes, since the aerosol effect on thermal radiation over the northern Indian Ocean is minor [Lubin et al., 2001].

The main focus of this study is the direct aerosol forcing over the northern Indian Ocean during the winter monsoon period. We adopt a broad definition of aerosol radiative forcing following Satheesh et al. [1999]. Specifically, it is defined as the effect of aerosol, both natural and anthropogenic, on the net short-wave radiative fluxes at the TOA and surface and on the absorption of short-wave radiation in the atmosphere. In such a way, we have three types of aerosol forcing, i.e., TOA radiative forcing $R_T$, surface radiative forcing $R_S$, and atmospheric radiative forcing $R_A$. The three types of forcing are constrained by the following equation:

$$R_T = R_S + R_A.$$  

$R_T$ usually receives most attention because it determines the effect of aerosol on the net solar energy input to the climate system [e.g., Charlson et al., 1992; Kiehl and Briegleb, 1993; Haywood et al., 1997]. Although the $R_T$ value can be nearly...
zero for the absorbing aerosol [e.g., Hansen et al., 1997], the absolute values of $R_s$ and $R_d$ can be large due to redistribution of solar energy in the atmosphere-ocean system by the aerosol. Since the ocean surface albedo is low, the aerosol increases the solar energy absorption in the atmosphere at the expense of the solar energy deposited in the ocean. The excess solar absorption in the atmosphere due to absorbing aerosol (positive $R_d$ and negative $R_s$) can change the net equator-to-pole heating gradient of atmosphere and oceans, the hydrological cycle, and the surface heat budget. Thus perturbation of the climate system due to the relatively large $R_d$ can be more important than perturbation due to the relatively small $R_T$. Finally, we need to quantify the aerosol modulation of heating rates in the atmosphere in order to better understand the effect of aerosol-induced absorption on cloud dynamics.

2. Description of the Model

2.1. Aerosol Model

The aerosol model used in this study is based on aerosol chemical, microphysical, and optical and radiometric data collected during the INDOEX FFP and described in detail by Satheesh et al. [1999]. The directly measured aerosol species include sea salt, dust, and sulfate. The inferred species include soot, organics, and ash. The aerosol species contribute to the aerosol optical thickness at 500 nm in the following way: sea salt, 17%; mineral dust, 15%; sulfate and ammonium, 29%; soot, 11%; organics, 20%; and fly ash, 8% (see Satheesh et al. [1999] for more detail). The soot component is used following the definition by Hess et al. [1998] to represent absorbing black carbon. The aerosol model was calibrated using the surface radiometric observations at the island of Kaashidhoo and the CERES radiation measurements [Satheesh et al., 1999; Podgorny et al., 2000]. The calculated surface fluxes under clear skies agreed with the measurements within a few W m$^{-2}$, which is less than the instrumental error. Furthermore, the calculated aerosol-scattering coefficients were within the range of measured values typical for oceanic regions [Satheesh et al., 1999].

The first aerosol vertical distribution used as input to the model is derived from scanning aerosol back scatter lidar measurements from R/V Sagar Kanya in the vicinity of Kaashidhoo during the INDOEX FFP [Satheesh et al., 1999]. The distribution is uniform in the boundary layer (up to 1000 m) and then exponentially decreasing with a scale height of 800 m (Figure 1, top). The aerosol forcing calculations reported by Satheesh et al. [1999] and Podgorny et al. [2000] are based on this type of vertical distribution.

A heavy elevated aerosol layer was frequently observed from aircraft to the north of Kaashidhoo during the INDOEX IFP [Ramanathan et al., 2001]. The corresponding aerosol profile is peaked between 3000 and 3500 m, the magnitude of aerosol extinction coefficient being 3 times larger than that in the boundary layer (Figure 1, top). On the basis of the INDOEX IFP and FFP observations, we postulate that instantaneous aerosol vertical distributions in the tropical Indian Ocean during winter monsoon fall between the two profiles presented in Figure 1. The aerosol vertical distributions are assumed the same for all aerosol species. Here $\sigma = 0.9$ at 500 nm was typically observed during the INDOEX for both types of aerosol vertical distribution [Ramanathan et al., 2001] and is used for most calculations. We also perform numerical experiments with the model in which $\sigma$ varies from 0.8 to 1.0 in order to investigate the effect of $\sigma$ on aerosol radiative forcing. In this case, the soot content is adjusted in order to achieve the required $\sigma$ values in the range 0.8–0.95. All aerosol species except for sea salt are replaced by sulfate and ammonium in order to model the limit case of conservatively scattering aerosol ($\sigma = 1.0$). We use the average aerosol optical thickness of 0.4 (at 500 nm) observed during INDOEX IFP [Satheesh and Ramanathan, 2000] for most numerical experiments.

Aerosol particles are assumed spherical, Mie phase function and single-scattering albedo for each aerosol species is computed using the OPAC 3.1 software [Hess et al., 1998]. The model assumes aerosol species to be externally mixed. Pilinis et al. [1995] reported a negligible effect of mixing state on the aerosol forcing for a “global mean” aerosol model (sulfate, nitrate, sea salt, organic carbon, and crust material), although the model did not contain strongly absorbing aerosol. The sensitivity of the aerosol forcing to the mixing state is generally higher when a mixture of only soot and sulfate is considered [e.g., Haywood et al., 1997, and references therein]. In particular, configuring the soot as a shell surrounding the aerosol particles would enhance the solar absorbtion significantly, but such particles cannot be justified as yet from observations. For the INDOEX aerosol model, the global fluxes at the surface under clear skies were calculated for both externally and internally mixed aerosol and agreed within 0.5% [Satheesh et al., 1999; Podgorny et al., 2000].

2.2. Radiative Transfer Model

The radiative transfer model used in this study is a three-dimensional (3-D) broadband Monte Carlo model developed at the Center for Clouds, Chemistry, and Climate (C4), Scripps...
2.3. Cloud Model

The cloud model is constrained by the following measurements during the INDOEX IFP: (1) cloud microphysical data (NCAR C-130 aircraft), (2) cloud base height (micropulse lidar), (3) low-level cloud fraction (Meteosat 5, ISCCP).

Aircraft observations of cloud drop effective radius, \( r \), and cloud drop concentration, \( N \), are used to estimate cloud extinction coefficient in the visible, \( k \) [Heymsfield and McFarquhar, 2001; Ramanathan et al., 2001, and references therein]. As cloud drop effective radius exceeds wavelength in the visible by an order of magnitude, and hence the extinction efficiency is approximately 2, we have

\[
k = 2\pi^2N.
\]

Figure 2 shows \( k \) in the visible versus latitude for 1385 cloud passes in the 500–1500 m altitude range. The \( k \) values tend to cluster between zero and 50 km\(^{-1}\); the mean \( k \) value is 40 km\(^{-1}\). Given that (1) typical cloud geometrical thickness for low-level clouds is about 500 m and (2) liquid water content measured by aircraft in the central parts of clouds is slightly higher than the average, the optical thickness of an individual cloud, \( \tau_0 \), is approximated by the value of 15 at 500 nm and then adjusted at each spectral band as described by Vogelmann et al. [2001]. This value represents an upper bound on the optical thickness of low-level clouds in the INDOEX region and is in a good agreement with the \( \tau_0 \) value estimated by Ramanathan et al. [2001]. On the basis of INDOEX observations, Ramanathan et al. [2001] developed a composite scheme to relate aerosol optical thickness and cloud optical thickness for low-level clouds. In this scheme, the \( \tau_0 \) value approaches 13.75 when optical thickness of aerosol exceeds 0.4.

An accurate modeling of aerosol-cloud radiative interactions would generally require a 3-D treatment of both aerosol and cloud spatial distributions. Whereas the C4 Monte Carlo radiative transfer model is capable of assimilating 3-D aerosol distributions, we resort to a simplified plane-parallel treatment of aerosol due to the lack of a proper 3-D model. Although the use of 3-D aerosol distributions might alter aerosol forcing calculations under cloudy skies, the development of a 3-D cloud-aerosol model is beyond the scope of this paper.

When selecting an appropriate 3-D cloud model, we need first of all to account for the effects of cloud gaps and cloud-to-cloud radiative interactions as contributing most to the 3-D-cloud effect on solar energy absorption for the case of low clouds [e.g., Podgorny et al., 1998]. In such a way the cloud model would allow radiation to penetrate below the clouds through the gaps and reach the aerosol beneath the clouds. As the model neglects internal inhomogeneity in liquid water distribution and cloud top variations within an individual cloud, we refer hereinafter to the effects of cloud gaps and cloud-to-cloud radiative interactions as 3-D cloud effects. The synthetic cloud scenes are constructed following Marshak et al. [1998]. A stratiform cloud is first generated using a “bounded cascade” three-parameter fractal model [see Marshak et al., 1998, for references] and then supplemented with gaps. To do that, a constant \( b \) is subtracted from the cloud optical thickness \( \tau \), the “negative” \((\tau - b < 0)\) pixels are considered clear skies, and “positive” \((\tau - b > 0)\) pixels are assigned a predetermined cloud optical thickness \( \tau_0 \). The \( b \) value is a function of “bounded cascade” model parameters and a given cloud fraction. A cloud scene has 256 \( \times \) 256 pixels with a pixel size of 100 m (Figure 1, bottom).

During INDOEX, low-level cloud base altitudes in the northern Indian Ocean were between 500 and 1000 m and cloud top altitudes between 1000 and 1500 m (A. Heymsfield and G. McFarquhar, unpublished data, 1999, based on micropulse lidar observations during INDOEX FFP and IFP). We assign the cloud base altitude to be 500 m and use a uniform cloud extinction coefficient between 500 and 1500 m in each cloud pixel (Figure 1, top).

Figure 3 is the monthly mean low-level cloud fraction in the INDOEX region (55°–75°E) versus latitude for the January–March period. The cloud fraction is calculated on the basis of two independent sources of cloud data: ISCCP [Rosow et al., 1996] infrared low-level cloud fraction for 1989–1993 and
Meteosat 5 low cloud fraction for 1999. Only nonoverlapped low-level clouds are reported in Figure 3. For February and March the monthly mean low-level cloud fraction gradually increases from 10–15% over the Arabian Sea to 30–50% over the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). We adopt the 25% low-level cloud fraction as a representative value for the northern Indian Ocean during the INDOEX. The consideration is limited to the case of nonoverlapped low-level clouds for the following reasons: (1) low-level clouds were prevalent during INDOEX as supported by aircraft observations and satellite analysis [Ramanathan et al., 2001]; (2) information on low-level clouds overlapped by high-level and midlevel clouds is not available from satellite observations; (3) effect of midlevel and high-level clouds on the aerosol forcing is limited in magnitude [e.g., Liao and Seinfeld, 1998].

3. Results
3.1. Magnitude of Aerosol Forcing

We first focus on the magnitude of aerosol radiative forcing as a function of cloud fraction, aerosol single-scattering albedo and aerosol vertical distribution. The results of calculations are presented for diurnal average aerosol radiative forcing defined as an average forcing during the 24-hour period. As the aerosol below and above the clouds is thought to represent two extreme cases with respect to aerosol forcing, the area between the two curves is shaded to display the forcing for a variety of instantaneous aerosol vertical distributions.

Figure 4 (top) shows the TOA, atmospheric and surface direct radiative forcing as a function of cloud fraction. Aerosol optical thickness is 0.4, aerosol single-scattering albedo is 0.9, and cloud optical thickness is 15. Solid black line and the other edge of the gray region represent the cases with aerosol above and below clouds (see Figure 1), respectively.

Figure 3. Monthly mean low-level cloud fraction in the INDOEX region (55°–75°E) versus latitude for the January–March period.

In plane-parallel approximation (e.g., assuming that the pixel size is orders of magnitude larger than cloud geometrical thickness) the relationship between aerosol forcing and cloud fraction is a straight line connecting two extreme cases of the forcing under clear and overcast skies. As seen from Figure 4, the 3-D cloud effect increases the TOA forcing by ~1–2 W m⁻² when cloud fraction is between 25 and 50%; that is, 3-D radiation-cloud interactions tend to decrease the reflection of solar radiation by aerosols back to space. The effects of internal inhomogeneity in liquid water distribution within an indi-
Table 1. TOA ($R_T$), Atmospheric ($R_A$), and Surface ($R_S$) Radiative Forcing (W m$^{-2}$) Under Cloudy Skies As a Function of Cloud Fraction ($f$) and Aerosol Optical Thickness ($\tau_A$)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$f$, %</th>
<th>$\tau_A$</th>
<th>$R_T$</th>
<th>$R_A$</th>
<th>$R_S$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabian Sea</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>-50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>-24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITCZ</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cloud optical thickness is 15.

individual cloud might decrease the positive TOA forcing by about 15% in the limiting case of overcast clouds [Highwood, 2000].

We now focus on sensitivity of aerosol forcing to the cloud top altitude and compute $R_T$ for a cloud field confined between 500 and 1000 m (cloud fraction is 25% and cloud optical thickness is 15). When cloud top altitude decreases from 1500 to 1000 m, the positive contribution of absorbing aerosol to the TOA forcing raises reflecting additional absorption by extra aerosol above the cloud tops. The magnitude of this increase is relatively small, being 0.9 and 0.1 W m$^{-2}$ for the aerosol mostly above and below the clouds, respectively.

As both cloud fraction (Figure 3) and aerosol optical thickness [Jayaraman et al., 1998; Ramanathan et al., 2001] vary across the northern Indian Ocean, the aerosol forcing should be a function of latitude. To address this issue in more detail, Table 1 shows aerosol forcing calculated for three representative regions: Arabian Sea (large aerosol optical thickness, small cloud fraction), Maldives (aerosol and cloud parameters used throughout this study to represent average INDOEX conditions), and ITCZ (small aerosol optical thickness and large cloud fraction). The aerosol forcing is computed for a combination of the first (weighted by a factor of 1/3) and second (weighted by a factor of 2/3) aerosol profiles (see Figure 1), as the boundary layer aerosol profile occurred about one third of the time and the elevated profile about two thirds of the time during the INDOEX IFP [Ramanathan et al., 2001]. As seen from Table 1, the magnitude of the forcing decreases southward, following the latitudinal trend in aerosol optical thickness. The increase in cloud fraction from Arabian Sea to ITCZ changes the sign of the TOA forcing.

We next investigate the effect of aerosol single-scattering albedo on the aerosol forcing. Figure 5 shows the TOA radiative forcing versus $\sigma$. Calculations are made for cloudy skies (25% cloud fraction), both aerosol vertical distributions (corresponding to the boundaries of the shaded area), and for clear skies (solid line). $R_T$ is positive when $\sigma$ drops below 0.9 and 0.83 for the aerosol above and below the clouds, respectively. The effect of clouds on the atmospheric forcing is minor when aerosol is below clouds even for the relatively low $\sigma$ values. When aerosol is above the clouds, the cloud contribution to $R_A$ is about 15–20% for the typical $\sigma$ values over the tropical Indian Ocean. The presence of clouds diminishes the surface forcing for all considered $\sigma$.

3.2. Aerosol Effect on Cloud Forcing

The TOA cloud radiative forcing, $C_T$, is defined as the difference between net short-wave fluxes at the TOA for cloudy and clear-sky atmosphere [e.g., Ramanathan et al., 1995]. The TOA forcing is generally negative since clouds tend to reflect more solar radiation back to space than a cloudless atmosphere. Similarly, the surface cloud forcing, $C_S$, or the difference between cloudy and clear-sky net short-wave fluxes at the surface is negative, as the clouds prevent solar radiation from reaching the surface. Finally, the atmospheric cloud forcing, $C_A$, is the difference between bulk atmospheric absorption in the cloudy and cloudless atmosphere. The three types of the cloud forcing are constrained by the following equation:

$$C_T = C_S + C_A.$$  (3)

The cloud forcing is zero under clear skies increasing in magnitude with cloud fraction.

Figure 6 shows the cloud forcing versus cloud fraction calculated for a pristine (dashed line) and polluted (shaded regions) atmosphere. For the 25% cloud fraction, the aerosol-free atmospheric cloud forcing is 7.0 W m$^{-2}$. The presence of aerosol ($\sigma = 0.9$) results in a stronger cloud forcing with magnitude ranging from 7.5 W m$^{-2}$ (aerosol mostly below the clouds) to 10.0 W m$^{-2}$ (aerosol mostly above the clouds).

Ramanathan et al., [1995] used the ratio $f_s = C_S/C_T$ to quantify the effect of clouds on atmospheric absorption. According to (3) the atmospheric cloud forcing is positive when the surface forcing exceeds the TOA cloud forcing in magnitude; that is, $f_s > 1$. On the basis of the results shown in
Figure 6. Cloud radiative forcing versus cloud fraction in a pristine (dashed line) and polluted (shaded regions) atmosphere. The bounding lines of gray (σ = 0.9) and black (σ = 0.8) regions represent the cases with aerosol above and below cloud (see Figure 1). Aerosol optical thickness is 0.4.

Figure 6, the $f_S$ value is 1.16 in a pristine atmosphere, 1.20 when aerosol is below the clouds and $\sigma = 0.9$, and 1.37 when aerosol is above the clouds and $\sigma = 0.8$.

### 3.3. Aerosol Modulation of Diurnal Mean Heating Rates in the Atmosphere

In this section we focus on the heating rates in the lower (below 5000 m) troposphere. Figure 7 (left) shows the heating rates in a pristine atmosphere. Calculations are made under clear skies (solid line) and cloudy atmosphere (dashed lane) with a cloud fraction of 25%. Both curves are nearly the same above 1500 m (cloud top altitude). In the 500–1500 m layer, heating rates in the cloudy atmosphere exceed those under clear skies by a factor of 2. Figure 7 (right) shows the enhancement in the heating rates by aerosols for two σ values: 0.9 (gray) and 0.8 (black). A distinction is now made between two aerosol vertical distributions. Aerosols nearly double the heating rates both for clear and for cloudy skies with the largest increase in heating rates observed in the layer of maximum aerosol concentration above the clouds.

### 3.4. Role of Soot and Dust in the Aerosol Forcing

As mentioned in section 3.1, we assume that aerosol is externally mixed. While this assumption has a minor effect on the magnitude of the aerosol forcing [Satheesh et al., 1999; Podgorny et al., 2000], it allows us to estimate contributions from individual aerosol species to the direct aerosol forcing. These are computed by repeating the radiative transfer calculations for each species while assigning all other species concentrations to be zero. In such a way, the optical thickness for each per species calculation is limited to the optical thickness contributed by that species. Because of nonlinearity of the optical effects, the per species forcings do not necessarily add up to the forcing for the mixture of aerosol, although this effect is negligible. Figure 8 displays the contributions from soot (black), dust (gray), and other species (white) in the form of bar charts. The optical thickness for the sum of all the aerosols is 0.4 at 500 nm, cloud fraction is 25%, and cloud optical thickness is 15. The bounding lines of shaded regions represent clear and cloudy skies.

As seen from Figure 8 (top), the soot contribution to the TOA forcing is small but positive in cloudless atmosphere. Because of extremely low single-scattering albedo (0.23 at 500 nm; see Satheesh et al. [1999]), soot strongly absorbs radiation reflected upward from the lower layers of the atmosphere (Rayleigh scattering) and the ocean surface. The effects of soot and dust on the TOA forcing increase dramatically under cloudy skies, as the soot becomes the leading contributor to the TOA forcing for the case of aerosol above the clouds. The contribution from other species decreases in magnitude as those species (e.g., ash) also absorb the solar radiation reflected by the clouds. The positive contribution from soot and dust virtually cancels negative contributions from sea salt and sulfate, so the TOA forcing is negligible under cloudy skies (see Figure 4). The soot and dust contributions are larger when aerosol is mostly above the clouds as the soot and dust act more efficiently, absorbing the solar radiation both on the way down to the clouds and on the way up after reflection from the
is a formidable challenge to unravel the direct and indirect effect of aerosols in such cloudy regions solely from radiation observations. This modeling study provides estimates for the direct forcing. Since the model employs observations of aerosol and cloud microphysical properties as input for the radiative computations, the aerosol forcing results shown here should provide a sound framework for analyzing the impact of absorbing aerosol over the northern Indian Ocean on the climate system.

The main conclusion emerging from the results presented in this paper is that the direct effect of the aerosol in the cloudy atmosphere is a large reduction in the solar energy absorbed by the surface (−25 W m⁻²) and a correspondingly large increase in the lower atmospheric solar heating. The TOA direct forcing has a hybrid structure, switching from a negative forcing under clear skies to a positive forcing under overcast conditions. However, when the indirect effect of on cloud optical depth is included, the total aerosol forcing can be moderately negative [Ramanathan et al., 2001].

The large negative forcing at the surface has significant implications to the hydrological cycle. For the tropics as a whole, roughly 80% of the annual mean net radiative heating at the surface is balanced by evaporation [Oberhuber, 1988]. Thus the decline in surface solar heating can result in a reduced evaporation. The aerosol-induced doubling of the solar heating of the lower troposphere (see Figure 7) can also turn off the trade cumulus clouds since these clouds are sensitive to solar absorption [Ackerman et al., 2000]. The fundamental implication is that we need to focus on how the absorbing aerosols perturb the hydrological cycle instead of dismissing their climatic effect because of the relatively small magnitude of TOA forcing over the northern Indian Ocean. The primary reason for the large surface forcing and small TOA forcing is the absorption of solar radiation by the aerosol that is discussed next.

One of the goals of this study is to investigate the role of soot in the aerosol radiative forcing over the northern Indian Ocean. Soot is the most important aerosol species of anthropogenic origin with respect to the aerosol radiative forcing, as a relatively small variation in soot content can produce a dramatic change in the aerosol single-scattering albedo. Removing the soot from the aerosol model used in this study would result in the σ value as high as 0.96, compared to σ = 0.8–0.9 observed during the INDOEX IFP. As previously found by Hegg et al. [1997] and Novakov et al. [1997] for the U.S. eastern seaboard, we demonstrated that soot is the largest contributor to the atmospheric forcing over the northern Indian Ocean in both cloudy and cloudless atmosphere and the major contributor to the TOA forcing under cloudy skies. The relative contributions of soot and dust to the aerosol forcing increase with cloud fraction. The location of an aerosol layer with respect to the cloud top strongly affects the soot contribution to the TOA forcing. It increases almost twofold, from 2.7 to 4.7 W m⁻², when the aerosol is above compared to the aerosol mostly below the clouds. On the contrary, the vertical distribution of aerosol plays a minor role in the aerosol radiative forcing under clear skies. The presence of aerosol (σ = 0.9) increases cloud forcing by 0.5 and by 2.5 W m⁻² for the cases of aerosol mostly below and above the clouds, respectively. The associated increase in the ratio of the surface to the TOA cloud forcing is consistent with an increased atmospheric absorption due to the presence of absorbing aerosol. Cloud-to-cloud radiative interactions tend to increase atmospheric absorption by
the absorbing aerosol at the expense of the solar radiation reflected back to space, but this effect is insignificant.

Although the accurate estimation of the aerosol TOA forcing over the tropical Indian Ocean represents a complex problem and needs further analysis of the INDOEX data, the relative effect of the TOA forcing on the local climate system seems to be minor. On the contrary, the impact of absorbing aerosol on the redistribution of solar energy in the atmosphere-ocean system is tremendous, with up to the 30% decrease in the solar energy absorbed by the ocean. Furthermore, the aerosol contributions to the heating rates in the lower troposphere can be as large as the heating rates in a pristine atmosphere. Numerical experiments with GCMs would be helpful in estimating the consequences of aerosol-induced ocean-atmosphere redistribution of the solar radiation on the tropical Indian Ocean climate.
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