

Recent climate and air pollution impacts on Indian agriculture

Jennifer Burney^{a,1} and V. Ramanathan^b

^aSchool of International Relations and Pacific Studies, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla CA 92093; and ^bScripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037

Edited by Hermann Lotze-Campen, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany, and accepted by the Editorial Board September 18, 2014 (received for review September 30, 2013)

Recent research on the agricultural impacts of climate change has primarily focused on the roles of temperature and precipitation. These studies show that India has already been negatively affected by recent climate trends. However, anthropogenic climate changes are a result of both global emissions of long-lived greenhouse gases (LLGHGs) and other short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs). Two potent SLCPs, tropospheric ozone and black carbon, have direct effects on crop yields beyond their indirect effects through climate; emissions of black carbon and ozone precursors have risen dramatically in India over the past three decades. Here, to our knowledge for the first time, we present results of the combined effects of climate change and the direct effects of SLCPs on wheat and rice yields in India from 1980 to 2010. Our statistical model suggests that, averaged over India, yields in 2010 were up to 36% lower for wheat than they otherwise would have been, absent climate and pollutant emissions trends, with some densely populated states experiencing 50% relative yield losses. [Our point estimates for rice (-20%) are similarly large, but not statistically significant.] Upper-bound estimates suggest that an overwhelming fraction (90%) of these losses is due to the direct effects of SLCPs. Gains from addressing regional air pollution could thus counter expected future yield losses resulting from direct climate change effects of LLGHGs.

climate impacts | ozone | aerosols | agriculture | India

ver since the Green Revolution first staved off famines in the 1960s, Indian rice and wheat systems have grown over the past half century to play critical roles in the world food economy: India's 1.2 billion people depend primarily on food produced within the country, and other Asian and African nations rely heavily on imports of Indian rice. During the 2007-2008 world food price crisis, with wheat harvests failing elsewhere in the world, India banned rice exports out of concern for domestic food security, setting off a worldwide cascade of export bans and food riots. Global food security is thus tightly linked with India's rice and wheat production. In 2008, India produced 148.8 million tons of rice (paddy) and 78.6 million tons of wheat (Fig. S1). In 2006, before the food price spike crisis, India imported over 6 million tons of wheat (~\$1.3 billion) and exported over 4.4 million tons of milled rice (~6.6 million tons of paddy equivalent, ~\$1.5 billion) (1).

Yields for wheat and rice in India have recently begun to level off or even drop in some states (Figs. S2 and S3). This trend, particularly for wheat, counters decades of increasing yields driven by technological innovation (2). At the same time, growing season temperature trends have been positive for major wheatand rice- producing Indian states (Fig. S4; precipitation trends are mixed). Studies have shown that these climate trends have had a negative impact on Indian agriculture, reducing relative yields by several percent (3, 4). However, although temperature and precipitation changes have and will continue to (5) impact future yields, these two variables alone do not tell the entire story of India's changing crop yields.

Research in the past decade has underscored the critical importance of short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs)—nonlong-lived greenhouse gases (non-LLGHG) climate warming pollutants—on regional radiative forcing, precipitation, and monsoon patterns (6). SLCPs include black carbon (BC) aerosols as well as the greenhouse gases methane, tropospheric ozone, and hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs); together these compounds have contributed roughly 40% of the current radiative forcing (7, 8). Unlike the LLGHGs, which can persist for centuries in the atmosphere, SLCPs have shorter atmospheric lifetimes—from weeks (black carbon) to months (ozone) or decades (methane and HFCs)—making them appealing mitigation targets (9–11).

SLCPs have indirect effects on agricultural productivity through their impacts on temperature (all) and precipitation (BC). However, BC and ozone are of particular interest for agriculture because they also have direct impacts on crop growth. BC aerosols alter the quantity and nature of the solar radiation reaching the surface (12), and ozone is directly toxic to plants (13). India's breadbasket, the Indo-Gangetic Plains, is subject to a dramatic annual buildup of these (and other) pollutants before the monsoon each year [known as an Atmospheric Brown Cloud, or ABC (6)]. This spatial coincidence is shown in Fig. 1: the most intensively farmed areas in the region area also areas with high average aerosol optical depth and large surface ozone concentrations. Particularly for high-pollution regions like India, understanding the specific role of SLCPs in crop productivity will be critical to assessing the overall impact of climate change and air quality on agriculture and food security.

To our knowledge, this is the first such study to examine both the impacts of climate (temperature and precipitation, or T and P trends) and the direct effects of SLCPs (BC and ozone) on historical yields. Previous work has used statistical models to estimate temperature and precipitation impacts on historical crop yields (3); similar statistical analyses have explored indirect and radiative impacts of ABCs on rain-fed rice yields in India (4, 14).

Significance

Rising temperatures because of increased emissions of long-lived greenhouse gases (LLGHGs) have had and will continue to have significant negative impacts on crop yields. However, other climate changes caused by short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs) are also significant for agricultural productivity. The SLCPs black carbon and ozone impact temperature, precipitation, radiation, and—in the case of ozone—are directly toxic to plants. To our knowledge, this study provides the first integrated historical examination of the role of both SLCPs and LLGHGs on wheat and rice yields in India, and finds that the majority of losses are attributable to SLCPs. Agricultural cobenefits from SLCP mitigation are expected to be large, and because SLCPs have short atmospheric lifetimes, almost immediate.

Author contributions: J.B. designed research; J.B. performed research; J.B. and V.R. analyzed data; and J.B. and V.R. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission. H.L.-C. is a guest editor invited by the Editorial Board.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: jburney@ucsd.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10. 1073/pnas.1317275111/-/DCSupplemental.



Fig. 1. (*A*) Cultivated fraction of each 5' x 5' cell for (*Left*) wheat and (*Right*) rice. States included in this analysis for each crop are labeled. Data are from ref. 58. (*B*) MODIS (Terra) Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm in 2008 for (*Left*) March–April–May average, coinciding with the peak of the wheat season, and (*Right*) August–September–October, coinciding with the peak of the kharif rice season. (*C*) Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis (MERRA) estimated 24-h average surface ozone mixing ratio (ppbv) in 2008 for (*Left*) wheat season, August–September–October average (64).

On the ozone side, chamber, open-top, and other field experiments have resulted in hundreds of dose-response relationships for individual crop cultivars over a range of agro-ecological zones and ozone concentrations (15–18). These dose-response relationships have been used to estimate global and regional crop loss in individual years, as well as into the future under different emissions scenarios (11, 19–24). These studies show large ozone impacts: one estimated that global crop loss caused by surface ozone in the year 2000 reached over 79 million metric tons (\$11 billion) (21).

In this report, we attempt to harmonize the existing research on climate and pollution impacts on agriculture. We do this by bringing SLCP emissions into a statistical analysis of historical yield data in India for both rice (predominantly rainy season) and wheat (dry season). By explicitly including pollution variables along with climate variables in our analysis, we provide upper-bound estimates of direct SLCP impacts on yields.

Linking SLCP Emissions to Crop Yield Impacts

Although conceptually simple, this quantification of SLCP impacts on crop growth is complicated by: (*i*) the lack of near-surface BC or ozone concentrations over the Indian subcontinent,

(*ii*) coemmission and mixing of BC with other aerosol precursors and species, and (*iii*) the nonlinear nature of tropospheric ozone formation. Each of these is discussed briefly below and in greater detail in the *SI Text*.

Emissions Inventories

No long-run records of surface concentrations for BC and ozone exist for India; the best proxy for these pollutant concentrations is therefore an emissions inventory of aerosols and ozone precursor compounds (e.g., refs. 25 and 26). Although not equivalent, emissions of pollutants are nevertheless related to their ambient surface concentrations (e.g., refs. 27–30). Moreover, although crop impacts depend on concentrations, emissions are ultimately the policy-relevant variables; establishment of the link between emissions (as opposed to concentrations) and yields is therefore desirable. The difficulty in this emissions-based approach is then in how to construct emissions variables that can adequately serve as proxies for the basic chemistry and physics governing ozone formation and aerosol radiative impacts.

Black Carbon

The direct impacts of BC on radiation and crop growth are straightforward: BC is an absorbing aerosol that reduces both direct and diffuse light available to plants, and-all else equalshould therefore lower yields. However, this effect is difficult to isolate because BC is usually coemitted or mixes in the atmosphere with other scattering aerosols to create compound particles of varying radiative properties (31). Scattering aerosols also reduce total surface radiation but increase the diffuse fraction; research has shown that plants are often able to more efficiently use diffuse light for photosynthesis (32). Two earlier studies found no significant impact of total surface radiation on rice yields (4, 14). The models in these studies made no distinction between direct and diffuse light, and may have found no effect because the overall reduction in total surface radiation was offset by an enhanced fraction of diffuse radiation. The studies also examined only kharif (rainy season) rice, where expected aerosol impact would be lower.

As with BC, no long-run records exist for the main scattering aerosols: organic carbon (OC) and sulfates. (The main sources of BC in India are domestic biofuels-wood, dung, and crop residues for cooking-and fossil fuels. Biomass burning is also the main source of OC emissions, whereas sulfates are formed from gas-to-particle conversion of sulfur dioxide, SO₂, a main component of coal-fired power plant emissions. Average growing season surface radiation (total = direct + diffuse) for the main wheat- and rice-producing states in India over the past three decades is shown in Figs. \$5 and \$6 (data are from ref. 33). This dramatic surface dimming of 7-10% is attributed (6, 34) to increased aerosol emissions in the region; total BC+SO₂ emissions and reduction in total surface radiation are correlated with $R^2 =$ 0.44. Recent research indicates that the net radiative forcing of OC (once thought to be pure scattering) is in reality close to zero (31), and that the relative abundance of BC and sulfates is the main determinant of overall aerosol radiative forcing (35). We therefore include BC and SO₂ emissions (as the main precursor for sulfate aerosols) in our model, and omit OC.

Ozone

Tropospheric ozone (O_3) formation depends on the presence of methane, carbon monoxide, or volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx = NO + NO₂). [We use NOx and nonmethane VOCs (NMVOCs) in our analysis because CO and methane (CH₄) contribute predominantly to background ozone levels.] At low NOx concentrations, increasing levels of NOx and, to a lesser extent NMVOCs, result in higher ozone concentrations. At high NOx concentrations, increased NOx can conversely result in net titration of ozone out of the atmosphere, bringing overall levels down (with changes in NMVOC concentrations having little impact). The determinant of these two NOx "regimes" is the ratio of summed VOCs (weighted by reactivity) to NOx (36). Our model therefore includes NOx, NMVOCs, and the NMVOC:NOx ratio.

No long-run records of either surface ozone or ozone precursor concentrations exist for India, but global background levels of tropospheric ozone are increasing in general (37), and several site-specific measurements in India corroborate this trend (38, 39). Emissions of all ozone precursors are rising in India, with NOx emissions outpacing NMVOCs; the ratio of these two precursors varies dramatically across the country (Fig. S7). The main sources of NOx emissions are the transportation sector and coal combustion; VOCs are emitted in biomass combustion, a large variety of industrial processes, and in vehicle exhaust. (It should also be noted that NOx is a strong oxidant and damaging to plants on its own.) Figs. S5–S8 show trends and spatial distribution of BC, SO₂, NOx, and NMVOC emissions.

Model Overview

To quantify the impacts of climate and air pollution trends on Indian agricultural production, we constructed a dataset of rice and wheat yields, surface air temperature, precipitation, and aerosol and ozone precursor emissions for major Indian wheatand rice-producing states from 1980 to 2010. Fig. 1*A* shows the states included in the analysis. To relate climate and air pollution to crop yields, we followed techniques well established in the literature (3, 4, 14, 40) and regressed state-level wheat and rice yields in India on weather and emissions variables using the basic regression model:

$$ln(Y_{it}) = \vec{\beta} \times \vec{X}_{it} + S_i + f_i(t) + \epsilon_{it}$$

In this specification, Y_{it} is crop yield (kilograms/hectare of either wheat or rice) for state *i* in year *t*, ϵ_{it} are the error terms, and the β -coefficients are the terms of interest minus the stateindependent coefficients for dependence of yield on the climate and pollution variables, X_{it} . Log-transforming Y_{it} normalizes the distributions and makes results interpretable across orders of magnitude (i.e., as percent changes). S_i are state-fixed effects (state-specific intercepts), which control for time-invariant differences between states like soil type; $f_i(t)$ are time controls, which account for time-varying differences between states like rates of technology adoption, governance, policy, and so forth (we use state-specific linear and quadratic time trends, with other specifications presented in *SI Text*). [Previous studies using statistical panel models to estimate climate impacts on agriculture have similarly included region-specific and pooled quadratic time trends to capture a general empirical leveling-off of yields (3, 4, 14, 40). Because these previous studies have not included SLCPs explicitly, they implicitly capture SLCP direct impacts with the quadratic time terms meant to capture unaccounted-for technology effects. Moreover, all such panel studies-this one included-implicitly capture SLCP indirect impacts in the coefficients for temperature and precipitation.]

The climate and emissions variables included in our model are: T and P (average growing season temperature and precipitation), T^2 and P^2 (average growing season temperature-squared and precipitation-squared as measures of extremes), $ln(SO_2)$ and ln(BC)(emissions as aerosol concentration proxies), and ln(NOx), ln(NMVOC), and the ratio of those two terms. Satellite and European air quality monitoring station data are used to justify the ozone specification in the model, to determine appropriate functional form, and to verify the existence of both NOx regimes over the study area, as described in Fig. 2 and below.

To contextualize our regression analysis, we then calculated the relative yield change (RYC) in 2010 as the percentage change between our model predictions and a counterfactual scenario without long-run climate and pollution trends (i.e., we use our model to project yields from 1980 to 2010, with climate and emissions variables held at average 1980 levels). We compared the 2006–2010 average for both real-world and counterfactual scenarios to more accurately reflect long-run differences. We then weighted the state-level RYC results by either crop area or production (both weightings are presented below) and summed to derive national-level yield impacts of recent climate and pollution trends.

Results

Relative Impacts of Climate and Pollution at the National Level. The main results of our analysis are presented in Fig. 3, with full regression results in Table S1. Average (median) RYC is plotted as red diamonds, with error bars calculated by bootstrapping the model 1,000 times (clustered on years, with replacement) and selecting the 5th-95th percentile range. Ex ante, we would expect to see larger impacts on wheat than rice for two reasons: (i)wheat's main growing season coincides with the greatest buildup of pollution over the Indian subcontinent; and (ii) wheat shows more sensitivity than rice to ozone in chamber experiments. Indeed, we found that wheat yields were over 36% lower in 2010 than they would have been absent climate and SLCP emissions trends (-36.92% weighted by area; -37.91 weighted by production). For rice, our median estimates suggest that yields were over 20% lower (-20.56 weighted by area; -20.85 weighted by production), but the 5th–95th confidence interval includes zero for rice. Our analysis indicates that 90% of the RYC in wheat can be attributed to SLCPs (Fig. 3, yellow bars), as opposed to trends in average temperature and precipitation (Fig. 3, blue bars).

At the country level our findings for climate (*T* and *P*) impacts over this time period (RYC of -3.5% for wheat and minimal for rice) are similar to previous studies (3, 4, 14). We find that a 1 °C increase in temperature leads to a yield decline on average of 4% for wheat and 5% for rice. The coefficients for temperature (Table S1) are statistically significant for both crops; precipitation is not statistically significant for either. [Significance at 90% with standard errors corrected for spatial and serial correlation (41).] The climate portion of the RYC for wheat may be a lower-bound, given that irrigation mitigates some temperature impact through soil moisture (42).

It is less straightforward to compare our results for aerosol and ozone precursor effects to previous studies. Two earlier studies found no significant impact of total surface radiation on rice yields (4, 14). The models in these studies made no distinction between direct and diffuse light, and may have found no effect because the overall reduction in total surface radiation was offset by an enhanced fraction of diffuse radiation, which plants use more efficiently for photosynthesis. The studies also examined only kharif (rainy season) rice, where expected aerosol impact would be lower. The coefficients for our preferred model specification (Eq. 1), in which sulfates and BC are accounted for separately, are negative for wheat, and statistically significant. Auffhammer et al. (14) found that ABCs resulted in a RYC of -6% over 30 y (14) for rain-fed rice in India. Although the total impact of aerosols varies a bit depending on model specification, we find a similar magnitude impact.

Fig. 2. Relationship between yearly mean ozone and precursor concentrations at European monitoring stations observing ozone, NOx, and NMVOCs. Main plot shows the existence of low- and high-NOx regimes (with opposite-signed relationships). (*Inset*) The relationship between ozone and the NMVOC:NOx ratio. These data were used to guide choice of functional form in our model. Data from AirBase v.6 (65).





Fig. 3. RYC resulting from climate and SLCPs for (A) wheat and (B) rice. For both crops, RYC is calculated as $[Model_{(2006-2010 avg)} - Baseline_{(2006-2010 avg)}]/$ Baseline_(2006-2010 avg) (plotted as red diamonds). The portion of the total yield change because of temperature and precipitation trends (blue bars) is estimated using the coefficients in Table S1 and the average trends in *T* and *P* (Fig. S4). The remainder is a result of SLCPs. Country totals are estimated by summing state values weighted by total area. Error bars are constructed for each state by bootstrap resampling the model 1,000 times and selecting the 95% range.

Ozone precursor emissions are significant for both crops. No previous studies have examined the statistical historical relationship between ozone precursor emissions and crop yields, but several studies have used chemical transport models to simulate atmospheric ozone concentrations, and have then applied concentration–response relationships derived from field experiments to estimate crop loss caused by ozone exposure (19–22). Van Dingenen et al. estimate 7–12% for wheat and 3–4% for rice in the year 2000 (20); Avnery et al. estimate that in the year 2000, surface ozone reduced global wheat production by 3.9-15% (21), with additional RYC between 2030 and 2000 up to -26% (22), very similar to our estimates (which also include aerosol impacts).

State-by-State Variation. There is substantial variation in relative impacts of climate and SLCPs across states. Some of the most dramatic impacts for both wheat and rice have occurred in Uttar Pradesh and Uttaranchal (UP). UP, India's most populous state, is the largest producer of both wheat and rice in the country, providing over one-third of India's wheat and 14% of India's rice. In particular, wheat yields for UP are ~50% lower than they otherwise would have been absent climate and pollution trends, and over two-thirds of that RYC is attributable to SLCP emissions trends (state-by-state time projections are shown in Fig. S9).

Rajasthan, although producing a lower percentage of India's wheat, shows the greatest overall wheat RYC (more than 50%). The relatively large climate impacts on wheat in both UP and Rajasthan are driven by temperature, as the two states have had the largest increases in growing season temperature since 1980 (Fig. S4) (0.87° for Rajasthan and 0.52° for UP). Four of the main wheat-producing states—UP, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh, and Bihar and Jharkhand—have large

negative SLCP impacts, whereas Punjab and Haryana show little to no impact of either SLCPs or climate (not statistically significant at 90%). Moreover, the uncertainties in Punjab and Haryana are greater than for other states, and across alternative models specifications (Figs. S10–S12). Two factors likely explain these differences. First, Punjab and Haryana are the most technologically advanced wheat-producing states in India, with the highest yields and the greatest yield gains over the time period (Fig. S2); they also feature some of the lowest estimated crop yield gaps in India (and the world) (43), meaning they have been closest to achieving biological potential despite climate and emissions changes (Fig. S9). However, in addition, the intricacies of ozone production likely explain the SLCP impact differences (see below).

For rice, the overall climate and pollution impacts are lower, and the state-by-state variation is less than for wheat (see also Fig. S13 for kharif-only analysis). Most notably, the southeastern states of Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh show higher relative climate impacts; these are two of the least-polluted states in the study region (e.g., Fig. 1 and Figs. S5–S8); they have also featured significant growing season temperature increases (Fig. S4). The states of the heavily polluted northern and eastern Indo Gangetic Plains (UP, Bihar and Jharkhand, West Bengal) all exhibit SLCP RYC of -15% or more. Haryana and Punjab, the two states with the smallest SLCP impacts in wheat, do not diverge from the other states in rice impacts. The difference in SLCP impacts between the two crops for Punjab and Haryana is likely dominated by differences in rates of ozone formation in the two states between the two seasons.

Studies suggest that in the summer monsoon months NOx and ozone concentrations are higher than in winter, and remain higher in those two states than elsewhere (44–46). This finding may be because of higher temperatures (47) and higher concentrations of NMVOCs from biomass burning (48, 49) [traditionally one of the biggest sources of uncertainty in emissions inventories (50)] during the rice growing season. Additionally, the possibility exists that farmers in these two states may be adapting wheat crops more successfully than rice crops by selecting cultivars with higher ozone resistance (although such potential is limited) (23, 51).

As shown in Fig. S7, NOx and NMVOC emissions have risen fairly steadily in all six states, but the ratio of the two differs across states. In particular, we expect states with higher NMVOC:NOx ratios to have higher ozone concentrations and therefore higher RYC, but states with very high NOx concentrations are at the very least VOC-sensitive regimes, and might actually have net titration of ozone from the atmosphere (See *SI Text* for a more detailed discussion). Punjab and Haryana have very high NOx emissions, but low NMVOC:NOx ratios, whereas the other four states have lower overall NOx emissions but higher NMVOC:NOx ratios.

We examined satellite data to confirm the plausibility of differential ozone impacts across states. Previous work (30) showed that the ratio of columnar formaldehyde (HCHO) to nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) was a suitable proxy for the VOC:NOx ratio and could be used to distinguish NOx-sensitive from NOx-saturated regimes. We replicated this methodology using data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (52) and found that the relationship between columnar ozone and NO₂ switches sign at the HCHO:NO₂ value of \sim 4. As shown in Fig. 4, satellite data from 2008 indicate that the northwestern Indo-Gangetic Plain (Punjab/Harvana) has a lower HCHO:NO₂ ratio than the eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain (e.g., UP/Bihar). Indeed, for most of the wheat-growing season, much of Punjab and Haryana is NOxsaturated (whereas both are NOx-sensitive during the rice growing season). These satellite data confirm the existence of different NOx regimes across India during the wheat season, and thus provide additional support for our preferred model specification (as opposed to a simpler specification that simply included precursors together or omitted the VOC:NOx ratio). Further research is needed to fully flesh out these dynamics, particularly as panel statistical analyses are becoming the tool of choice for agricultural impact assessments.



Fig. 4. (Left) Map of India showing average December–January–February HCHO:NO₂ ratio. The 2° cells in Punjab (red) and UP/Bihar (blue) are used for comparative analysis in the right panel. (*Right*) Distribution of HCHO:NO₂ ratio in grid cells in two comparison regions for 2008, by month. The line (ratio = 4) represents the empirically derived transition between ozone titrating (i.e., the relationship between columnar ozone and NO₂ is negative) and NOx-sensitive (the relationship is positive) regimes. In the wheat-growing season, Punjab/Haryana is largely NOx-saturated, whereas UP/Bihar is NOx-sensitive.

Discussion

Several caveats to this analysis exist. First, meso-scale transport of pollutants by winds to neighboring states could skew results (11, 53). This is an important subject for future research, as the policy implications for local and transported pollutant impacts would be quite different. A more comprehensive surface ozone and SLCP monitoring network could be used to investigate the origins of pollution by examining the correlation between local emissions, local tropospheric O_3 formation, and direct/diffuse radiation; these data could in turn be used to cross-check chemical transport models and to create observationally constrained emissions inventories. Second, this analysis ignores interdependencies between several of the independent variables: for example, ozone formation is a function of temperature as well as precursor concentrations; precipitation removes aerosols from the atmosphere.

Most important, as with any statistical analysis, our results depend on model specification and choice of a baseline (or counterfactual) scenario. Our model includes state-specific linear and quadratic time trends, allowing for unknown variables like technology and policy changes—to account for the slope and curvature of yield trends in each state. For our baseline scenario, we use the coefficients from our model to project yields forward, absent the long-run trends in emissions, temperature, and precipitation. We thus assume that these time trends in the courterfactual scenario are independent of pollution and emissions trends; this is likely untrue because industrialization and mechanization likely contributed both to increased emissions and to higher yields. For this reason, we consider our estimates to be upper-bounds.

Finally, our analysis is statistically limited in two key ways. First, the study area is geographically small (i.e., the number of observational units is low), and second, emissions trends have been similar across the region, limiting the amount of information that can be gleaned from this scale of analysis. These limitations are discussed in greater detail in *SI Text*, Tables S2 and S3, and Fig. S14.

Our results nevertheless indicate that SLCPs have had significant impact on crop yields in India in recent decades. The main wheat-producing state (UP) has been hit especially hard; rice-producing states in the heavily polluted northern Indo-Gangetic Plains have also been significantly negatively affected. For context, the yield loss for wheat attributable to SLCPs alone in 2010 (-18.9%) corresponds to over 24 million tons of wheat: around four times India's wheat imports before the 2007–2008 food price crisis and a value of ~\$5 billion. Mitigation of SLCP emissions in India could thus have important food security impacts both domestically and internationally. Impacts on Chinese agriculture would be similarly large, as emissions of SLCPs by China are larger by a factor of two to three (for a smaller total arable land area). Finally, under the simplistic assumption that India's 2010 wheat yield loss was compensated for by cropland expansion and increased production elsewhere, an additional 1.1 GtC (as CO_2) would have been released into the atmosphere from land conversion alone (using global averages) (54).

To our knowledge, this analysis for the first time decouples the historical impacts of climate and pollution, and thus offers a grounded, upper-bound assessment of SLCP mitigation potential. Yield increases from reduction of air pollution could help offset anticipated future expected yield losses resulting from temperature and precipitation changes. In the short term, this is an appealing option because SLCP mitigation will produce immediate results that can help counter the impacts of climate changes and sea level rise (55) already "locked in" from historical LLGHG and SLCP emissions. In the long term, although farmers may select/breed more pollution-resilient cultivars or alter management practices to help minimize such losses (51, 56), air pollution mitigation—particularly of ozone precursors— will become an ever-more important food security measure.

Materials and Methods

We constructed state-level climate and pollutant variables by averaging gridded temperature, precipitation, and emissions data over crop area and growing season for each crop and aggregating to the state level (Figs. S4–S7; to give an idea of spatial heterogeneity, average emissions of SO₂, BC, NOx, and NMVOCs during the wheat season for 2008 are shown in Fig. S8). Wheat is a winter crop in India; it is planted in November-December and then harvested in March, April, and May. This is the dry season, and almost all wheat in India is irrigated. Indian rice is grown in two main seasons. The main kharif rice crop (in which over 85% of rice is produced) coincides with the monsoonal rains: planting occurs in May-June and harvest is August, September, and October. The second rabi rice crop is a winter crop, roughly coinciding with the wheat season. We gathered state-level yield data for wheat, kharif rice, and rabi rice, and grouped them for analysis by crop (i.e., one analysis for wheat, and one for rice, including kharif and rabi). For rice, we used the entire period between planting and harvesting as the growing season; for wheat, we used the 120 d before harvest, in agreement with previous work (3). We use 1979 boundaries for Indian states in this analysis, with states that split after 1979 (e.g., Bihar and Jharkhand, UP, and Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh) considered together for the period of analysis. The states included in this analysis (Fig. 1) represent over 80% of rice production/area and over 85% wheat production/area.

State-level yield, production, and area data for India are from IndiaStat. com, aggregated from state and national agricultural ministries (57). Gridded crop area estimates (58) give the percentage of each 5-min cell devoted to each crop, and crop growing season data (59) gives planting and harvesting dates at 5-min resolution for all major crops. Temperature and precipitation data are taken from the Monthly Air Temperature and Monthly Total Precipitation Time Series (1900-2010) compiled by the University of Delaware climate research group (0.5×0.5 monthly averages) (60). Gridded emissions of SO2, BC, NOx, and NMVOCs are annual historical estimates from the Regional Emissions Inventory in Asia at 0.5×0.5 resolution, available monthly from 1980 to 2010 (26). [We repeat our analysis using an alternative climate dataset (61), maximum and minimum temperatures (61, 62), and an alternative emissions inventory (63), as robustness checks in the SI Text.] Solar radiation data (Figs. S5 and S6) was provided by the World Radiation Data Center (33). Sites with data covering the entire period were used, including (India) Ahmadabad, Bhaunagar, Bombay, Calcutta, Goa, Jodhpur, Kodiakanal, Madras, Nagpur, New Delhi, Poona, Shillong, Trivandrum, Vishakhapatnam, (Pakistan) Lahore City, and (Sri Lanka) Colombo. Daily global radiation data were averaged and monthly values interpolated across the region with the edges of the region set to the median values.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank numerous anonymous reviewers for helpful feedback on the manuscript. This work was supported by the University of California President's Postdoctoral Fellowship Program and the National Science Foundation (Grant AGS1016496).

- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistical Database (FAOSTAT). Available at www.faostat.org. Accessed June 5, 2014.
- Lin M, Huybers P (2012) Reckoning wheat yield trends. *Environ Res Lett* 7(2):024016.
 Lobell DB, Schlenker W, Costa-Roberts J (2011) Climate trends and global crop pro-
- duction since 1980. *Science* 333(6042):616–620. 4. Auffhammer M, Ramanathan V, Vincent J (2012) Climate change, the monsoon, and
- rice yield in India. *Clim Change* 111(2):411–424. 5. Lobell DB, et al. (2008) Prioritizing climate change adaptation needs for food security
- in 2030. Science 319(5863):607–610.
- Ramanathan V, et al. (2005) Atmospheric brown clouds: Impacts on South Asian climate and hydrological cycle. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102(15):5326–5333.
- Forster P, et al. (2007) Climate change 2007: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds Solomon S, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK).
- Ramanathan V, Xu Y (2010) The Copenhagen Accord for limiting global warming: Criteria, constraints. and available avenues. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(18):8055–8062.
- Wallack JS, Ramanathan V (2009) The other climate changers: Why black carbon and ozone also matter. Foreign Affairs 88(5):105–113.
- United Nations Environment Programme and World Meteorological Organization (2011) Integrated Assessment of Black Carbon and Tropospheric Ozone (United Nations Office at Nairobi Publishing Services Section, Nairobi).
- 11. Shindell D, et al. (2012) Simultaneously mitigating near-term climate change and improving human health and food security. *Science* 335(6065):183–189.
- 12. Ramanathan V, Carmichael G (2008) Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon. Nat Geosci 1(4):221–227.
- Ainsworth EA, Yendrek CR, Sitch S, Collins WJ, Emberson LD (2012) The effects of tropospheric ozone on net primary productivity and implications for climate change. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 63:637–661.
- Auffhammer M, Ramanathan V, Vincent JR (2006) Integrated model shows that atmospheric brown clouds and greenhouse gases have reduced rice harvests in India. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103(52):19668–19672.
- Mills G, et al. (2007) A synthesis of AOT40-based response functions and critical levels of ozone for agricultural and horticultural crops. Atmos Environ 41(12):2630–2643.
- Emberson L, et al. (2009) A comparison of North American and Asian exposureresponse data for ozone effects on crop yields. Atmos Environ 43(12):1945–1953.
- Pleijel H, Danielsson H, Emberson L, Ashmore M, Mills G (2007) Ozone risk assessment for agricultural crops in Europe: Further development of stomatal flux and fluxresponse relationships for European wheat and potato. *Atmos Environ* 41(14): 3022–3040.
- Grünhage L, et al. (2012) Updated stomatal flux and flux-effect models for wheat for quantifying effects of ozone on grain yield, grain mass and protein yield. *Environ Pollut* 165:147–157.
- Wang X, Mauzerall DL (2004) Characterizing distributions of surface ozone and its impact on grain production in China, Japan and South Korea: 1990 and 2020. Atmos Environ 38(26):4383–4402.
- 20. Van Dingenen R, et al. (2009) The global impact of ozone on agricultural crop yields under current and future air guality legislation. *Atmos Environ* 43(3):604–618.
- Avnery S, Mauzerall DL, Liu J, Horowitz LW (2011) Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 1. Year 2000 crop production losses and economic damage. *Atmos Environ* 45(13):2284–2296.
- Avnery S, Mauzerall DL, Liu J, Horowitz LW (2011) Global crop yield reductions due to surface ozone exposure: 2. Year 2030 potential crop production losses and economic damage under two scenarios of o3 pollution. *Atmos Environ* 45(13):2297–2309.
- Avnery S, Mauzerall DL, Fiore AM (2013) Increasing global agricultural production by reducing ozone damages via methane emission controls and ozone-resistant cultivar selection. *Glob Change Biol* 19(4):1285–1299.
- Ghude S, et al. (2014) Reduction in India's crop yield due to ozone. Geophys Res Lett 41(15):5685–5691.
- EC-JRC/PBL (2011) Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), release version 4.2. Available at edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/overview.php?v=42. Accessed April 30, 2012.
- Ohara T, et al. (2007) An Asian emission inventory of anthropogenic emission sources for the period 1980–2020. Atmos Chem Phys 7:4419–4444.
- Hilboll A, Richter A, Burrows JP (2013) Long-term changes of tropospheric NO₂ over megacities derived from multiple satellite instruments. *Atmos Chem Phys* 13:4145–4169.
 Menon S, et al. (2010) Black carbon aerosols and the third polar ice cap. *Atmos Chem*
- Phys 10:4559–4571.
 29. Duncan BN, Martin RV, Staudt AC, Yevich R, Logan JA (2003) Interannual and seasonal variability of biomass burning emissions constrained by satellite observations. J Geophys Res 108(D2):ACH1-1–ACH1-22.
- Martin RV, Fiore AM, Van Donkelaar A (2004) Space-based diagnosis of surface ozone sensitivity to anthropogenic emissions. *Geophys Res Lett* 31(6):L06120.
- Chung CE, Ramanathan V, Decremer D (2012) Observationally constrained estimates of carbonaceous aerosol radiative forcing. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 109(29):11624–11629.

- Mercado LM, et al. (2009) Impact of changes in diffuse radiation on the global land carbon sink. Nature 458(7241):1014–1017.
- World Radiation Data Center (WRDC), Global Radiation Data. Available at wrdc.mgo. rssi.ru. Accessed June 9, 2011.
- Padma Kumari B, Londhe AL, Daniel S, Jadhav DB (2007) Observational evidence of solar dimming: Offsetting surface warming over India. *Geophys Res Lett* 34(21):L21810.
- Ramana MV, et al. (2010) Warming influenced by the ratio of black carbon to sulphate and the black-carbon source. Nat Geosci 3(8):542–545.
- Sillman S (1999) The relation between ozone, NOx and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments. *Atmos Environ* 33(12):1821–1845.
- Vingarzan R (2004) A review of surface ozone background levels and trends. Atmos Environ 38(21):3431–3442.
- Lal S, Naja M, Subbaraya B (2000) Seasonal variations in surface ozone and its precursors over an urban site in India. Atmos Environ 34(17):2713–2724.
- Chakrabarty DK, Peshin SK, Pandya KV, Shah NC (1998) Long-term trend of ozone column over the Indian region. J Geophys Res 103(D15):19245–19251.
- Schlenker W, Lobell DB (2010) Robust negative impacts of climate change on African agriculture. Environ Res Lett 5(1):014010.
- Hsiang SM (2010) Temperatures and cyclones strongly associated with economic production in the Caribbean and Central America. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(35): 15367–15372.
- Lobell DB, et al. (2013) The critical role of extreme heat for maize production in the United States. Nat Clim Change 3(5):497–501.
- Lobell DB, Cassman KG, Field CB (2009) Crop yield gaps: Their importance, magnitudes, and causes. Annu Rev Environ Resour 34:179–204.
- Ghude SD, Fadnavis S, Beig G, Polade SD, van der A RJ (2008) Detection of surface emission hot spots, trends, and seasonal cycle from satellite-retrieved NO₂ over India. J Geophys Res 113(D20):D20305.
- Beig G, Ali K (2006) Behavior of boundary layer ozone and its precursors over a great alluvial plain of the world: Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Geophys Res Lett* 33(24):L24813.
- Kulkarni PS, et al. (2009) On some aspects of tropospheric ozone variability over the Indo-Gangetic (IG) basin, India. Int J Remote Sens 30(15-16):4111–4122.
- Sillman S, Samson PJ (1995) Impact of temperature on oxidant photochemistry in urban, polluted rural and remote environments. J Geophys Res 100(D6):11497–11508.
- Streets DG, Yarber KF, Woo JH, Carmichael GR (2003) Biomass burning in Asia: Annual and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 17(4):1099.
- 49. Venkataraman C, et al. (2006) Emissions from open biomass burning in India: Integrating the inventory approach with high-resolution moderate resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) active-fire and land cover data. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 20(2):GB2013.
- 50. Bond TC, et al. (2013) Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment. J Geophys Res Atmos 118(11):5380–5552.
- Teixeira E, et al. (2011) Limited potential of crop management for mitigating surface ozone impacts on global food supply. *Atmos Environ* 45(15):2569–2576.
- NASA, Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). Available at disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni. Accessed July 15, 2013.
- Hollaway MJ, Arnold SR, Challinor AJ, Emberson LD (2012) Intercontinental transboundary contributions to ozone-induced crop yield losses in the northern hemisphere. *Biogeosciences* 9:271–292.
- Burney JA, Davis SJ, Lobell DB (2010) Greenhouse gas mitigation by agricultural intensification. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107(26):12052–12057.
- Hu A, Xu Y, Tebaldi C, Washington WM, Ramanathan V (2013) Mitigation of shortlived climate pollutants slows sea-level rise. Nat Clim Change 3(8):730–734.
- Lobell DB, Ortiz-Monasterio JI, Sibley AM, Sohu V (2013) Satellite detection of earlier wheat sowing in India and implications for yield trends. Ag Sys 115:137–143.
- 57. Datanet India, IndiaStat. Available at www.indiastat.com. Accessed July 17, 2012.
- Monfreda C, Ramankutty N, Foley JA (2008) Farming the planet: 2. Geographic distribution of crop areas, yields, physiological types, and net primary production in the year 2000. *Global Biogeochem Cy* 22(1):GB1022.
- Sacks WJ, Deryng D, Foley JA, Ramankutty N (2010) Crop planting dates: An analysis of global patterns. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 19(5):607–620.
- 60. University of Delaware, Global Climate Data. Available at climate.geog.udel.edu/ ~climate. Accessed June 8, 2012.
- 61. Climatic Research Unit, High-Resolution Gridded Climate Datasets (CRU TS3.21). Available at www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/hrg. Accessed May 5, 2014.
- Welch JR, et al. (2010) Rice yields in tropical/subtropical Asia exhibit large but opposing sensitivities to minimum and maximum temperatures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107(33):14562–14567.
- Lu Z, Zhang Q, Streets DG (2011) Sulfur dioxide and primary carbonaceous aerosol emissions in China and India, 1996–2010. Atmos Chem Phys 11:9839–9864.
- 64. NASA, MERRA: Modern-Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications. Available at disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni. Accessed July 20, 2012.
- European Environment Agency Topic Centre on Air Pollution and Climate Change Mitigation, AirBase, version 6.0. Available at acm.eionet.europa.eu/databases/airbase. Accessed February 25, 2013.